



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
TYLER LAUBE,  
Defendant.

Case No.: 2:18-MJ-02791-3  
ORDER OF DETENTION

I.

On October 24, 2018, Defendant Tyler Laube (“Defendant”) made his initial appearance in this district on the Complaint filed in this matter, Case No. 2:18-MJ-02791-3. Peter Swarth, a member of the Indigent Defense Panel, was appointed to represent Defendant.

The Court made a detention determination based on motion by the Government in a case involving a serious risk that Defendant will flee. The Court concludes that the Government is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions reasonably will assure the Defendant’s appearance as required and the safety of any person or the community [18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)].

1 II.

2 The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions reasonably  
3 will assure the appearance of the Defendant as required at future proceedings.  
4

5 The Court bases its conclusions on the following:

6 As to risk of non-appearance:

- 7 • Defendant's failure to provide information to Pretrial Services  
8 • Defendant's limited bail resources  
9 • Defendant's current probation status  
10 • Defendant's limited economic ties to the community  
11 • Defendant's lack of truthfulness to Pretrial Services regarding his  
12 residence  
13 • Defendant's criminal history, including disobeying prior court order  
14 • Defendant's admitted violent altercation in the Huntington Beach  
15 incident  
16 • Defendant's association with a violent White Supremacist organization  
17

18 III.

19 In reaching its decision, the Court has considered: (a) the nature and  
20 circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether the offense is a crime  
21 of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor victim or a controlled  
22 substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device; (b) the weight of evidence  
23 against the defendant; (c) the history and characteristics of the defendant; and (d)  
24 the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community. [18  
25 U.S.C. § 3142(g)] The Court also considered all the evidence adduced at the  
26 hearing and the arguments, the arguments of counsel, and the report and  
27 recommendation of the U.S. Pretrial Services Agency.  
28

///

